World Journal of Emergency Surgery



Review

Open Access

Peritonitis – the Eastern experience Sanjay Gupta* and Robin Kaushik

Address: Department of Surgery Government Medical College and Hospital Chandigarh, India Email: Sanjay Gupta* - sandiv99@yahoo.co.uk; Robin Kaushik - robinkaushik@yahoo.com * Corresponding author

Published: 26 April 2006

World Journal of Emergency Surgery 2006, 1:13 doi:10.1186/1749-7922-1-13

This article is available from: http://www.wjes.org/content/1/1/13

© 2006 Gupta and Kaushik; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Peritonitis is a common emergency encountered by surgeons the world over. This paper aims to provide an overview of the spectrum of peritonitis seen in the East. Studies dealing with the overall spectrum of secondary peritonitis in various countries of this region were identified using Pubmed and Google. These were analyzed for the site and cause of perforation and the mortality. It was observed that perforation of duodenal ulcers was the most the commonly encountered perforations. These are followed by small bowel and appendicular perforations. Colonic perforations were uncommon. The overall mortality ranges between 6–27%.

Background

Despite a better understanding of pathophysiology, advances in diagnosis, surgery, antimicrobial therapy and intensive care support, peritonitis remains a potentially fatal affliction. On the basis of source and nature of the microbial contamination peritonitis can be classified as primary, secondary and tertiary. Primary peritonitis is infection, often monomicrobial, of the peritoneal fluid without visceral perforation. Secondary peritonitis arises subsequent to loss of integrity of a hollow viscus and is the most common form of peritonitis encountered. Tertiary peritonitis develops following treatment of secondary peritonitis either due to failure of the host inflammatory response or due to superinfection [1]. The contamination of peritoneal cavity thus, can lead to a cascade of infection, sepsis and multisystem organ failure (MSOF) and death if not treated in a timely manner.

This paper aims to present the experience of the East in terms of the spectrum of secondary peritonitis seen, its common causes and outcomes.

Materials and methods

Received: 22 March 2006 Accepted: 26 April 2006

A search of available English Language Literature was conducted to identify studies dealing with secondary peritonitis, predominantly from Asia, using Pubmed and Google. The key words 'peritonitis' and 'perforation' were used as a basic level of search. The results thus obtained were further refined using the names of the various countries of this region in an effort to identify such series that dealt with the overall spectrum of peritonitis as seen in the different geographical locations within this region. These studies were further cross referenced to screen for any other relevant series in their bibliography. Using these methods, a total of fifteen series were identified that dealt with the overall spectrum of peritonitis. These were then analysed for the total number of cases, the distribution of the site of perforation and the overall mortality. A few series were not considered truly representative of the overall spectrum of peritonitis and were therefore, not included for analysis.

A second search was similarly carried out to identify studies that dealt with perforations of specific anatomical

Table I: Previously reported series of peritonitis

Author [Ref]	Total Cases	Gastroduodenal Perforations n (%)	Small bowel Perforations n (%)	Appendicular Perforations n (%)	Colorectal Perforations n (%)	Mortality
Quereshi 2005[16]	126	31 (24.6)	37 (29.4)	12 (9.5)	3 (2.4)	15%
Khan 2004[11]	54	21 (38.8)	14 (25.9)	6 (11.1)	4 (7.4)	NA
Nishida 2002*[15]	229	92 (40.2)	71 (31)	0	66 (28.8)	13.1%
Chen 2000[14]	98	57 (58.1)	6 (6.1)	13 (13.2)	14 (14.3)	NA
Dorairajan 1995[2]	250	80 (32)	103 (41.2)	38 (15.2)	5 (2)	9.2%
Shreshtha 1993[12]	80	26 (32.5)	15 (Ì8.7)	27 (33.7)	Ò	9.6%
Tripathi 1993[3]	160	24 (15)	57 (35.6)	16 (10)	NA	23.7%
Dandpat 1991[4]	340	276 (81.1)	34 (10)	22 (6.4)	4 (1.2)	15.9%
Sharma 1991[5]	155	47 (30.3)	62 (40)	23 (14.8)	2 (1.3)	8.4%
Shah 1988[6]	110	51 (46.4)	16 (Ì4.5)	31 (28.1)	3 (2.7)	6.4%
Kachroo 1984[7]	90	15 (16.6)	13 (14.4)	37 (41.1)	2 (2.2)	8.8%
Rao 1984[8]	46	26 (56.5)	18 (39.1)	2 (4.3)	o ´	26.1%
Ratnatunga 1983[13]	131	11(8.3)	31(23.7)	15(11. 4)	NA	NA
Bhansali 1967[10]	96	48 (50)	40 (41.6)	_***	0	20.8%

n - number of cases

parts of the bowel, the underlying pathology, and the preferred methods of their treatment and mortality.

The results are tabulated as Table 1 (overall spectrum), Table 2 (Gastroduodenal perforations) and Table 3 (Small bowel perforations).

Results

Despite peritonitis being a commonly encountered surgical emergency, we could identify only fifteen series in the available English Language Literature that dealt with the overall spectrum of secondary peritonitis from Asia and far East. The majority of these were from India [2-10], with only two from Nepal [11,12] and one each from Srilanka [13], China [14], Japan [15] and Pakistan [16]. The full text of two could not be obtained for analysis [9,13].

Overall, perforations of the gastroduodenum are the most common cause of peritonitis. [Table 1]. These commonly arise consequent to perforation of peptic ulcers, more specifically; ulcers of the first part of the duodenum [Table 2]. The mortality in this subset of perforations is upto 11 %, with a higher mortality seen in patients over the age of 50 years and in those who present late to the hospital [17-19].

The next commonly encountered perforations arise from the small bowel (6–42 %) [Table 1], but there seems to be a wide geographical variation in the incidence and frequency of small bowel perforations. A few authors have reported this to be the most common cause of peritonitis in their series [2,3,5,13,16], whereas it accounted for only around 6 % of the cases of peritonitis that were encountered in China [14]. A very low incidence of small bowel perforations has also been reported from Thailand [20].

Table 2: Summary of previously reported series of gastroduodenal perforations

Author [Ref]	Cases	Duodenal Ulcer Perforation n (%)	Gastric ulcer Perforation n (%)	Perforation of Gastric Carcinoma n (%)	Mortality
Khan 2004[11]	21	16 (76.2)	5 (23.8)	0	NA
Siu 2001[25]	121	83 (68.6)	29 (23.9)	_*	3.3%
Chan 2000[17]	206	196 (95.1)	10 (4.8)	0	10.7%
Dorairajan 1995[2]	80	74 (92.5)	5 (6.2)	I (I.2)	3.7%
Sugimoto 1994[60]	101	90 (89.1)	11 (10.8)	O	0
Wakayama 1994[18]	136	110 (80.9)	19 (13.9)	7 (5.1)	5.1%
Sharma 1991[5]	47	45 (95.7)	I (2.I)	I (2.1)	4.2%

n - number of cases

NA - data not available

^{* –} includes traumatic perforations

^{** -} not included in the study

NA – data not available

^{* –} not included in the study

Table 3: Summary of previously reported series of small intestinal perforations

Author [Ref]	Total Cases	Typhoid Perforations n (%)	Nonspecific Ulcer Perforation n (%)	Tubercular Perforations n (%)	Mortality
Khan 2004[11]	18	7 (38.9)	5 (27.8)	2 (11.1)	NA
Chaterjee 2001, 2003[28,34]	460	248 (53.9)	111 (24.1)	16 (3.5)	20.9%
Chitkara 2002[65]	216	92(42.6)	36(16.7)	36(16.7)	11.5%
Ray 2001[61]	30	8(26.7)	5(16.7)	4(13.3)	6.7%
Chulakamontri 1996[20]	8	2 (25)	l (12.5)	0	0
Dorairajan 1995[2]	103	69 (66.9)	7 (6.8)	13 (12.6)	NA
Sharma 1991[5]	62	42 (67.7)	5 (8.1)	12 (19.3)	11.3%
Bose 1986[62]	75	46 (61.33)	I (I.3)	8 (10.6)	16%
Khanna 1984[63]	125	100 (80)	O	4 (3.2)	NA
Nadkarni 1981[35]	32	8(25)	18(56.2)	3(9.3)	28.1
Mehendale 1979[64]	32	9(28.Í)	2(6.2)	13(40.6)	37.5%
Bhansali 1967[10]	46	29 (63)	`o´	7 (15.2)	NA

n – number of cases
NA – data not available

Generalized peritonitis due to perforation of the small bowel is seen more commonly in the developing countries, where it is usually secondary to perforation of typhoid ulcers that are seen in enteric fever. Non specific or idiopathic ulcer perforation and tubercular ulcer perforations are the next common cause in most of the series [Table 3]. The overall mortality in this group of peritonitis is higher than that seen in gastroduodenal ulcer perforations, and ranges from 0 – 38 % [Table 3].

These two types of perforations accounted for the vast majority of cases of peritonitis encountered, although appendicular perforations are also frequently implicated [6,7,12,14]. Colorectal perforations are rare [Table 1] but a higher incidence has been reported from Japan and China [14,15]. The other rare causes of peritonitis that have been reported are perforations of the gallbladder, common bile duct, uterus, liver or splenic abscesses [2,5,7,11,13,16].

Discussion

Although there is a paucity of data on the overall spectrum of peritonitis in the East, the few studies [Table 1] that we were able to identify commonly implicated perforations of the gastroduodenum as the commonest cause of peritonitis in this region; there definitely is a regional bias in the frequency and incidence of intestinal perforations, with enteric perforations being encountered more frequently in the developing countries of south East Asia, and colonic perforations in the far east.

Gastroduodenal perforations

Perforations of peptic ulcers form the major group among the gastroduodenal perforations [Table 2]. These perforations are usually encountered along the first part of the duodenum anteriorly and in the pylorus of the stomach. The advances in the medical treatment of the peptic ulcer disease have led to a dramatic decrease in the number of elective surgeries performed. However, the number of patients undergoing surgical intervention for complications such as perforation remains relatively unchanged or has increased [21,22]. Such patients present with the classical signs and symptoms of peritonitis, and need early surgery for a favourable outcome. Although the surgical options are many - from simple closure to definitive acid reducing procedures – it has been our experience that simple closure of the perforation using a pedicled omental patch gives good results, even in large perforations upto 3 cms diameter [23]. This should therefore, be the preferred surgical method of closure, as it is easy to perform, is technically straightforward, and gives comparable results to that of definitive surgery [23,24]. The mortality rate of these perforations varies from 4 – 11% [17-19,23,25], and is higher in the elderly, those with concomitant disease, preoperative shock, larger size of the perforation, delay in presentation and delay in operation [17-19]. Perforation of ulcers at other sites within the stomach and gastric cancers has been uncommonly reported, and emergency gastrectomy is the treatment of choice [26,27].

Small bowel perforations

The next common types of perforations encountered are those arising in the small intestine [Table 3]. These usually arise on a background of enteric fever, when the ulcerated peyer's patches in the terminal ileum perforate to give frank peritonitis. These typhoid ileal perforations have a high mortality rate, upto 60% [28-32]. Aggressive resuscitation, antibiotics and early surgery has reduced the mortality rate and complications in this subset of small bowel perforations to less than 10% [28]. Although early surgery is associated with a better outcome, there is, however, no uniformity of opinion about the operative procedure to

be performed in these perforations, and various procedures have been described such as simple closure, wedge excision or segmental resection and anastomosis, ileostomy, and even, side to side ileo-transverse anastomosis after primary repair of the perforation [28-33]. However, it is our experience and teaching, that these patients have bowel oedema that precludes any suturing, and therefore, exteriorization of the perforation as a 'loop' ileostomy is the safest and fastest procedure to be done. Closure of this loop ileostomy is performed electively after 6 to 8 weeks, and is safe. A primary anastomosis (simple closure) is to be considered only when the patient presents early and the bowel is healthy.

A 'non specific' etiology is attributed to small bowel perforations when the perforation cannot be classified on the basis of clinical symptoms, gross examination, serology, culture and histopathological examination into any disease state such as enteric fever, tuberculosis or malignancy [20,34,35]. These ulcers are usually single and commonly involve terminal ileum [34]. It has been proposed that submucus vascular embolism [36], chronic ischemia due to atheromatous vascular disease or arteritis [37], or drugs such as enteric coated potassium tablets [38] are responsible for them.

These 'non specific' ileal perforations are closely followed by small bowel perforations occurring in intestinal tuberculosis. Most of these (50 – 80 %) occur in the ileum, usually proximal to strictures of the bowel [39]. Free tubercular perforations are rare [40]. The mortality rate reported in these tubercular perforations is very high, upto 70 % [41]. The diagnosis of perforated tubercular enteritis is usually not one that is made pre-operatively, because of the non-specific signs and symptoms and absence of radiological evidence of tuberculosis in the chest. Even in the presence of tubercular lesions in the chest skiagram, the diagnosis is not entertained or established until the histology and culture of the biopsied tissue turns out to be positive [42]. The recommended treatment after source control is multidrug anti tubercular therapy [43].

In contrast to these common causes of small bowel perforation in the developing countries, small bowel perforations are rare in the oriental countries [14,20]. Apart from enteric fever and 'non specific' ulcers [20,44], the other reported causes of such perforations from these countries include Crohn's disease, Behcet's disease, radiation enteritis, adhesions, ischemic enteritis, SLE and very rarely, intestinal tuberculosis [20,45-47]. Free perforations are a rare complication of Crohn's disease, and their incidence is reportedly highest from Japan, where it ranges from approximately 3% to 10%. These perforations are usually solitary, and occur mainly in the ileum. However, they can be multiple, and can occur at any site in the small or large

bowel [45]. Similarly the incidence of Behcet's disease is much higher in Japan, and perforation of the intestinal ulcers can occur in upto 56% of cases. These are usually multiple and occur commonly in the terminal ileum and caecum, and need removal of a long segment of the ileum to prevent post-operative recurrence [46].

Appendicular and colorectal perforations

Gastroduodenal and small bowel perforations form the majority of cases encountered. Few series have shown higher or equal incidence of appendicular perforations [7,21]. However, this high incidence of appendicular perforations probably reflects the younger age of patients in the reported series where appendicitis and consequently the complications are known to be much higher [7]. Colorectal perforations are uncommon, and apart from occasional case reports, we could come across only a single series from Japan that dealt with non appendicular colorectal perforations [48]. Perforations secondary to colonic neoplasms account for the majority of such cases. The perforation may occur at the site of the malignancy or proximally, as a 'blow out' of the proximal large bowel due to obstruction from the lesion. The incidence of such perforations is low, but carries a high mortality of about 17% [48,49].

The other causes that have been reported are perforation of colonic diverticula, inflammatory conditions of the colon, volvulus, mesenteric ischemia, trauma, iatrogenic complications, idiopathic and stercoral perforations [48,50]. In the Asian communities diverticular disease is more common in a younger age group, and the right colon is more commonly involved. One-third of these patients present with perforation of the large bowel and fecal peritonitis that requires surgical intervention [51,52]. Amoebic colitis is another condition that is common in the tropical countries, with an incidence of perforation around 2 %, but with a high mortality rate (up to 50%) regardless of the treatment [53].

Rare perforations

Rare sites of perforation leading to secondary peritonitis that have also been reported in the literature arise from the biliary tree, uterus, splenic and liver abscesses. Of these, ruptured amoebic liver abscesses are frequently encountered in tropical countries. These are seen in 3–7% of cases of intestinal amoebiasis, and upto 22% can rupture to give peritonitis, which carries a high mortality [54]. The management is by laparotomy and drainage or nonoperatively, by means of metronidazole and/or radiologically guided drainage [54,55]. Rupture of pyogenic liver abscess is rarer by comparison [56].

Spectrum of bacterial isolates

The bacterial analysis of the peritoneal fluid encountered showed E. coli to be dominant pathogen isolated, ranging from 25–71% [3,6,7,10,57,58]. This was followed by Bacteroides fragilis, [57,58] Klebsiella sp., and Pseudomonas sp [6,7], in the few series that dealt with this aspect of peritonitis. A sterile culture was encountered in 8–59.1% [3,6,10,58]. Depending on the site of the perforation gram positive cocci are predominantly isolated in gastroduodenal perforations; Pseudomonas sp. in small bowel perforations [12]. However, a higher incidence of fungal isolates has also been reported after gastroduodenal perforations [59].

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests

Authors' contributions

SG carried out acquisition, analysis, interpretation of the data and drafting of the manuscript.

RK was involved interpretation of the data, drafting of the manuscript, and revised it critically for the intellectual content till the final version was reached.

Authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Simmen HP, Heinzelmann M, Largiader F: Peritonitis: Classification and causes. Dig Surg 1996, 13:381-3.
- Dorairajan LN, Gupta S, Suryanarayana Deo SV, Chumber S, Sharma LK: Peritonitis in India- A decade's experience. Trop Gastroenterol 1995, 16:33-8.
- Tripathi MD, Nagar AM, Srivastava RD, Partap VK: Peritonitisstudy of factors contributing to mortality. Indian J Surg 1993, 55:342-9
- Dandapat MC, Mukherjee LM, Mishra SB, Howlader PC: Gastrointestinal perforations. Indian J Surg 1991, 53:189-93.
- Sharma L, Gupta S, Soin AS, Sikora S, Kapoor V: Generalized peritonitis in India-the tropical spectrum. |pn | Surg 1991, 21:272-7.
- Shah HK, Trivedi VD: Peritonitis- a study of I I 0 cases. Indian Practitioner 1988, 41:855-60.
- Kachroo R, Ahmed MN, Zargar HU: Peritonitis- An analysis of 90 cases. Indian J Surg 1984, 46:204-9.
- Rao DCM, Mathur JC, Ramu D, Anand D: Gastrointestinal tract perforations. Indian J Surg 1984, 46:94-6.
 Budhraja SN, Chidambaram M, Perianyagam WJ: An analysis of 117
- Budhraja SN, Chidambaram M, Perianyagam WJ: An analysis of 117 cases. Indian J Surg 1973, 35:456-64.
- Bhansali SK: Gastro-intestinal perforations-a clinical study of 96 cases. | Postgrad Med 1967, 13:1-12.
- Khan S, Khan IU, Aslam S, Haque A: Reterospective analysis of abdominal surgeries at Nepalgunj Medical College, Nepalgunj, Nepal: 2 year's experience. Kathmandu University Medical Journal 2004, 2:336-43.
- Shrestha ML, Maskey CP, Khanal M, Bhattarai BK: Retrospective study of generalised perforation peritonitis in TU teaching hospital. Journal of the Nepal Medical Association 1993, 31:62-8.
- Ratnatunga C: Peritonitis a personal experience of 131 cases. In proceedings of Kandy society of Medicine Annual Sessions [http://www.hellis.org/]. last accessed 16.03.06
- Chen SC, Lin FY, Hsieh YS, Chen WJ: Accuracy of ultrasonography in the diagnosis of peritonitis compared with the clinical impression of the surgeon. Arch Surg 2000, 135:170-74.

- Nishida T, Fujita N, Megawa T, Nakahara M, Nakao K: Postoperative hyperbilirubinemia after surgery for gastrointestinal perforation. Surgery Today 2002. 32:679-84.
- perforation. Surgery Today 2002, 32:679-84.
 16. Quereshi AM, Zafar A, Saeed K, Quddus A: Predictive power of Mannheim Peritonitis Index. JCPSP 2005, 15:693-6.
- Chan WH, Wong WK, Khin LW, Soo KC: Adverse operative risk factors for perforated peptic ulcer. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2000, 29:164-7.
- Wakayama T, Ishizaki Y, Mitsusada M, Takahashi S, Wada T, Fukushima Y, Hattori H, Okuyama T, Funatsu H: Risk factors influencing the short-term results of gastroduodenal perforation. Surg Today 1994, 24:681-7.
- Rajesh V, Chandra SS, Smile SR: Risk factors predicting operative mortality in perforated peptic ulcer disease. Trop Gastroenterol 2003, 24:148-50.
- 20. Chulakamontri T, Hutachoke T: Nontraumatic perforations of the small intestine. J Med Assoc Thai 1996, 79:762-6.
- Koo J, Ngan YK, Lam SK: Trends in hospital admission, perforation and mortality of peptic ulcer in Hong Kong from 1970 to 1980. Gastroenterology 1983, 84:1558-62.
- Alam MM: Incidence of duodenal ulcer and its surgical management in a teaching hospital in Bangladesh. Trop Doct 1995, 25:67-8.
- 23. Gupta S, Kaushik R, Sharma R, Attri A: The management of large perforations of duodenal ulcers. BMC Surg 2005, 5:15.
- 24. Sharma D, Saxena A, Rahman H, Raina VK, Kapoor JP: 'Free omental plug': a nostalgic look at an old and dependable technique for giant peptic perforations. Dig Surg 2000, 17:216-8.
- Siu WT, Leong Ht, Law BK, Chau CH, Li AC, Fung KH, Tai YP, Li MK: Laparoscopic repair for perforated peptic ulcer: a randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2002, 235:313-9.
- Hodnett RM, Gonzalez F, Lee WC, Nance FC, Deboisblanc R: The need for definitive therapy in the management of perforated gastric ulcers. Review of 202 cases. Ann Surg 1989, 209:36-9.
- Ādachi Y, Mori M, Maehara Y, Matsumata T, Okudaira Y, Sugimachi K: Surgical results of perforated gastric carcinoma: an analysis of 155 Japanese patients. Am J Gastroenterol 1997, 92:516-8.
 Chaterjee H, Jagdish S, Pai D, Satish N, Jyadev D, Reddy PS: Chang-
- Chaterjee H, Jagdish S, Pai D, Satish N, Jyadev D, Reddy PS: Changing trends in outcome of typhoid ileal perforations over three decades in Pondicherry. Trop Gastroenterol 2001, 22:155-8.
- Kim JP, Oh SK, Jarrett F: Management of ileal perforation due to typhoid fever. Ann Surg 1975, 181:88-91.
- Shah AA, Wani KA, Wazir BS: The ideal treatment of the typhoid enteric perforation – resection anastomosis. Int Surg 1999, 84:35-8.
- 31. Noorani MA, Sial I, Mal V: Typhoid perforation of small bowel: a study of 72 cases. J R Coll Surg Edinb 1997, 42:274-6.
- Chouhan MK, Pande SK: Typhoid enteric perforation. Br J Surg 1982, 69:173-5.
- Thomas SS, Mammen KJ, Eggleston FC: Typhoid perforation: further experience with end-to-side ileotransverse colostomy. Trop Doct 1990, 20:126-8.
- Chaterjee H, Jagdish S, Pai D, Satish N, Jyadev D, Reddy PS: Pattern of nontyphoid ileal perforation over three decades in Pondicherry. Trop Gastroenterol 2003, 24:144-7.
- Nadkarni KM, Shetty SD, Kagzi RS, Pinto AC, Bhalerao RA: Small-bowel perforations. A study of 32 cases. Arch Surg 1981, 116:53-7.
- 36. Evert JA, Black BM: Primary non specific ulcers of the small intestine. Surgery 1948, 23:185-200.
- Finkbiner RB: Ülceration and perforation of the intestine due to necrotizing arteriolitis. N Engl J Med 1963, 268:14-7.
- Baker DR, Schrader WH, Hitchcock CR: Small bowel ulceration apparently associated with thiazide and potassium therapy. JAMA 1964, 190:586-90.
- Kakkar A, Aranya RC, Nair SK: Acute perforation of the small intestine due to tuberculosis. ANZ J Surg 1983, 53:381-3.
- Wig JD, Malik AK, Chaudhary A, Gupta NM: Free perforations of tuberculous ulcers of the small bowel. Indian J Gastroenterol 1985, 4:259-61.
- 41. Bhansali SK: **Abdominal tuberculosis.** Am J Gastroenterol 1977, **67:**324-37.
- Gupta RL: Abdominal Tuberculosis. In GI Surgery Annual Volume
 Edited by: Chattopadhya TK. New Delhi: Saku Printing House; 1995:51-60.

- Shah S, Thomas V, Matan M, Chacko A, Chandy G, Ramakrishna BS, Rolston DD: Colonoscopic study of 50 patients with colonic tuberculosis. Gut 1992, 33:347-51.
- Hoshino Y, Masuda G, Negishi M, Ajisawa A, Imamura A, Hachimori K, Takayama N, Yamaguchi T, Kimura M: Clinical and bacteriological profiles of patients with typhoid fever treated during 1975–1998 in the Tokyo Metropolitan Komagome Hospital. Microbiol Immunol 2000, 44:577-83.
- Ikeuchi H, Yamamura T: Free perforation in Crohn's disease: review of the Japanese literature. J Gastroenterol 2002, 37:1020-7.
- Kasahara Y, Tanaka S, Nishino M, Umemura H, Shiraha S, Kuyama T: Intestinal involvement in Behcet's disease: review of 136 surgical cases in the Japanese literature. Dis Colon Rectum 1981, 24:103-6.
- Chen YM, Lee PY, Perng RP: Abdominal tuberculosis in Taiwan: a report from Veterans' General Hospital, Taipei. Tuber Lung Dis 1995, 76:35-8.
- Shinkawa H, Yasuhara H, Naka S, Yanagie H, Nojiri T, Furuya Y, Ariki K, Niwa H: Factors affecting the early mortality of patients with nontraumatic colorectal perforation. Surg Today 2003, 33-13-7
- Chen HS, Sheen-Chen SM: Obstruction and perforation in colorectal adenocarcinoma: an analysis of prognosis and current trends. Surgery 2000, 127:370-6.
- Kasahara Y, Matsumoto H, Umemura H, Shirafa S, Kuyama T: Idiopathic perforation of the sigmoid colon in Japan. World J Surg 1981, 5:125-30.
- Lee YS: Diverticular disease of the large bowel in Singapore.
 An autopsy survey. Dis Colon Rectum 1986, 29:330-5.
- Ibrarullah M, Sikora SS, Saxena R, Kapoor VK, Kackcer L, Awasthi S: Diverticular disease of colon; Indian variant. Trop Gastroenterol 1991, 12:87-90.
- Eggleston FC, Verghese M, Handa AK: Amoebic perforation of the bowel: experiences with 26 cases. Br J Surg 1978, 65:748-51.
- Sarda AK, Bal S, Sharma AK, Kapur MM: Intra peritoneal rupture of amoebic liver abscess. Br J Surg 1989, 76:202-03.
- Natrajan A, D Souza RE, Lahoti NG, Candrakala SR: Ruptured liver abscess with fulminant amoebic colitis: case report with review. Trop Gastroenterol 2000, 21:210-13.
- Lee KT, Wong SR, Sheen PC: Pyogenic liver abscess: an audit of 10 years' experience and analysis of risk factors. Dig Surg 2001, 18:459-65.
- Shinagawa N, Mizuno A, Mashita K, Yura J, Ishikawa S, Hirata K, Denno R, Mukaiya M, Ishibiki K, Aikawa N: Bacteria isolated from intraabdominal infection and their susceptibilities to antimicrobial agents[abstract]. *Jpn J Antibiot* 1994, 47:1329-43.
- Saini S, Gupta N, Aparna , Lokveer , Griwan MS: Surgical infections: a microbiological study. Braz J Infect Dis 2004, 8:118-25.
- Shan YS, Hsu HP, Hsieh YH, Sy ED, Lee JC, Lin PW: Significance of intraoperative peritoneal culture of fungus in perforated peptic ulcer. Br J Surg 2003, 90:1215-9.
- Sugimoto K, Hirata M, Takishima T, Ohwada T, Shimazu S, Kakita A: Mechanically assisted intraoperative peritoneal lavage for generalized peritonitis as a result of perforation of the upper part of the gastrointestinal tract. J Am Coll Surg 1994, 179:443-8.
- 61. Ray D, Sen T, Mukherjee AL, Gupta A: Small bowel perforation Trop Doct. 2001, 31:119-20.
- Bose SM, Kumar A, Chaudhary A, Dhara I, Gupta NM, Khanna SK: Factors affecting mortality in small intestinal perforation. Indian | Gastroenterol 1986, 5:261-3.
- 63. Khanna AK, Mishra MK: **Typhoid perforation of the gut.** Postgrad Med J 1984, **60:**523-5.
- 64. Mehendale VG, Samsi AB: Jejuno-ileal perforations. J Postgrad Med 1979, 25:41-7.
- Chitkara N, Gupta R, Singla SL, Bansal V: Small bowel perforation. Trop Doct 2002, 32:186.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

